UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO IL 60601-6731

COPY MAILED

MAR 22 2010

In re Application of

Defrees, et al.

Application No. 10/586,166

Filed: 14 July, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 101961-5147-US/705742

DECISION

This is a decision on the <u>letter considered as a petition</u> filed on 11 September, 2009, under 37 C.F.R. §1.27(g)(2) requesting that status as a Small Entity be removed.

NOTE:

Petitioner has the responsibility of reviewing Petitioner's records and submitting accurate information to the Office. <u>Petitioner has a duty of candor to the Office to properly inquire to ascertain the accuracy of representations made before the Office.</u> (See: 37 C.F.R. §1.4, §10.18, MPEP §410.)

Petitioner's submission is **ACCEPTED**.

In accordance with the request, status as a Small Entity will be removed, and Petitioner is required to pay fees at the schedule set forth for not-small entities. The additional fees are charged to Deposit Account 50-0310, as authorized.

The availability of applications and application papers online to applicants/practitioners who diligently associate their Customer Number with the respective application(s) now provides an applicant/practitioner on-demand information as to events/transactions in an application.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that that those registered to practice <u>and</u> all others who make representations before the Office **must** inquire into the

underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation—since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.¹

The instant application is released to Technology Center/AU 1623 for further processing in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2²) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s).

/John J. Gillon, Jr./ John J. Gillon, Jr. Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

¹ See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on Petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office).

² The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

^{§1.2} Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.